

Reproduced by the

# ARMED SERVICES TECHNICAL INFORMATION AGENCY ARLINGTON HALL STATION ARLINGTON 12, VIRGINIA



UNCLASSIFIED

Ê

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U. S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

0

q

# Best Available Copy

62-4-5

FTDM-1886 26 March 1958

MATERIAL - ZINC-TREATED MAGNESIUM -METAL PLAING - PHYSICAL PROPERTIES EVALUATION OF

Contract No. AF33(657)-724

SEP 5 19E2

GENERAL DYNAMICS FORT WORTH

GIIIIIII No **([[][][]][]]()]()]()]()]()** GIIIIIID **CIIIIIID** (**GIIIIIII**) **Z 83** 

283054

AJ AD

027

C O N V A I R

Department 6 FWP 2376 - 2 - 53

# TEST DATA MEMORANDUM

F TOM NO.	1886
MODEL	B-58
TEST NO.	F-7228

TEST: MATERIAL - ZINC-TREATED MAGNESIUM - METAL PLATING - PHYSICAL PROPERTIES - EVALUATION OF

**OBJECT:** To investigate the physical properties of tin and tin-zinc alloy electroplates on Dow Chemical Co. zinc-treated HK-31 and AZ-31 magnesium alloys.

TEST SPECINENS AND PROCEDURE: The specimens, materials and equipment used during this test are given in Table I. The magnesium specimens were zinc-treated by the Dow Chemical Co. prior to the application of platings at this facility. Specimens were cleaned and electroplated with 0.0005" of tin or tin-zine alloy by the various procedures outlined in Tables II and III. Specimens were then visually examined for defective platings as outlined in Table IV. Specimens which exhibited no defects were given adhesion, heat cycling, and salt spray exposure tests according to the procedures listed in Table IV.

RESULTS: The results and visual evaluation of the various electroplating procedures are listed in Table V. The results of tests to evaluate the physical properties of platings produced by successful procedures are given in Table VI.

DISCUSSION: The suggested procedures outlined in the test request were followed but gave now results. Certain deviations from those procedures were then made. These are listed in Table VII.

Table V shows that none of the procedures produced a successful or slowplate on the AZ-31 alloy. It also shows attractive platings to obtained on the HK-31 alloy by Methods 6 and 7. Table VI shows that these platings exhibited excellent adhesion and thermal shock properties but poor salt spray resistance.

CONCLUSION: The physical properties of tin and tin-zinc alloy electroplates on Dow zinc-treated magnesium alloys were investigated. The results of this test lead to the following conclusions: 1) Dow zinc-treated AZ-31 alloy is not successfully electroplated by any of the procedures investigated.

2) Procedures 6 and 7 will produce attractive platings on HK-31 alloy that exhibit good adhesion and thermal shock properties. 3) The platings produced by these procedures exhibit poor corrosion resistance to salt spray.

The tests described in this report were conducted between 3 February 1958 and 13 March 1958.

DATE 26 March 1958 Jgw

BY M. J. Commissing CHECKED P. E. Halling. APPROVED KE Dances

# CONVERSE DYNAMICS CORPORATION

(FORT WORTH)

 REPORT NO.
 FTDM 1886

 MODEL
 B-58

 DATE
 26 March 1958

TABLE I MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT Α. MATERIALS QUANTITY USE SOURCE (**\***) ITEM Dow Zinc-Treated Specimens Dow Chemical Co. 16 Each 2.0"x 3.0"x .060" HK-31 Magnesium-Thorium Alloy Coupons (FMS 0046) \* \*\* 18 Each Specimens Dow Chemical Co. Dow Zinc-Treated 2.0"x 2.0" x .060" AZ-31 Magnesium Alloy Coupons (QQ-M-44a) \*Strike Chem. Lab. Stock 7.6 Liters Copper Strike Plating Solution Plating 11 11 11 Fluoborate Tin 7.6 Liters Tin Plating Plating Solution 11 11 11 Tin Metal Anodes 1.0 Lb. Tin Plating 11 11 11 4.0 Liters Tin-Zinc Alloy 80% Tin - 20% Zinc Plating Solution Plating 11 • 11 11 80% Tin -20% Zinc 1.0 Lb. Tin-Zinc Alloy Alloy Anodes Plating 11 11 11 Copper Plating 7.6 Liters Copper Plating Solution(Rochelle Salt) 11 11 11 Copper Anodes 1 lb. Copper Plating 11 11 11 Zinc Plating 7.6 Liters Zinc Striking Solution and Plating 11 11 11 Methyl Ethyl 2.0 Liters Specimen Ketone (TT-M-261) Cleaning . 3M Masking Tape Adhesion Minnesota Mining No. 250 Tests and Manufacturing Co. \* Temper H24 \*\* Convair specification FMS-0046 has the same requirements as MIL-M-26075 for the thickness used.

Department 6 FWP 1072-8-54

# C O N V A I R

•

. . .........

, A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION (FORT WORTH) AGE 3 REPORT NO. FTDM 1886 MODEL B-58 DATE 26 March 1958

بالموجود ومحاط الرساري

TABLE I (Continued)						
MATERIALS AND FOULTPMENT						
INTERTADO AND ENOTIPERT						
B. EQUIPMENT	11CF	SOURCE				
Electroplating Apparatus	Specimen Plating	Convair Built				
Vapor Degrease Cabinet	Cleaning	11 11				
500°F Furnace	Thermal Heat Cycl- ing	Bluc M. Electric Co. Blue Island, Ill.				
Salt Spray Cabinet	Corrosion Environ- ment	Industrial Filter and Pump Mfg. Co. Type CH-1 Chicago, Ill.				
Standard Laboratory Equipment	As needed	Chem. Lab. Stock				
	•					
		•				

いったいないとうないので

# CONVAIR

A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORFORATION (PORT WORTH) PAGE 4 REPORT NO. FTDM 1886 MODEL B-58 DATE 26 March 1958

## TABLE II

### CLEANING AND PLATING PROCEDURES

Specimens of Dow Zinc-Treated AZ-31 magnesium and HK-31 magnesiumthorium alloys were cleaned and plated as outlined below:

### A. Specimen Cleaning:

- 1. Trichloroethylene vapor degrease for 3 minutes
- 2. Methyl Ethyl Ketone clean
- 3. Air dry at room temperature

B. Specimen Plating:

PROCEDURE NO.	SPECIM AL AZ-31	EN NUMBER LOY HK-31	PLATING PROCEDURES (See Table III For Solution. Conc. And Operating Conditions)	PLATE THICKNESS (INCHES)
1	1	1	a. Copper Strike b. Tin Plate from Fluoborate Bath	.00001* .0005
2	2	2	a. Copper Strike b. 80% Tin-20% zinc Alloy Plate	.00001* .0005
3	3	3	a. Copper Strike b. Tin Plate from Sodium Stannate Bath	. ⊂ ()(,n) <b>] X</b> . ⊂ ()(,n) <b>] X</b>
4	4 5	4 5	a. Copper Strike b. Copper Plate c. 80% Tin-20% Zinc Alloy Plate	.00001* .0001 .0005
5 🕚	6 7	6 7	a. Zinc Strike b. Copper Strike c. Copper Plate d. 80% Tin-20% Zinc Alloy Plate	.00001* .00001* .0001 .0005

# C O N V A I R

A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION (FORT WORTH)

PAGE	5	2	
REPORT	NO.	FTDM	1886
MODEL		<b>B-</b> 58	
DATE	26	March	1958

ų,

ROCEDURE NO.	SPECIME	EN NUMBER	PLATING PROCEDURES	PLATE THICKNESS
	AZ-31	HK-31	(See Table III for Sol'n. Conc. and Operating Conditions)	(INCHES)
6	8 9 10	8 9 10	a. Zinc Strike b. Zinc Plate c. Copper Strike d. Copper Plate e. 80% Tin-20% Zinc Alloy Plate	.00001* .0001 .00001* .0001 .0005
7	11 12 13	11 12 13	<ul> <li>a. Zinc Strike</li> <li>b. Zinc Plate</li> <li>c. Copper Strike</li> <li>d. Copper Plate</li> <li>e. Tin Plate From Sodium Stannate Bath</li> </ul>	.00001* .0001 .00001* .0001 .0005

0

Ø

0

ふたいかいのようない

r.t

N OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION (PORT WORTH)	REPORT NO MODELB-58 DATE26 March 19
PLATING SOLUTION COMPOSITIONS AND	OPERATING CONDITIONS
Inting Bonorion Compositions and	<u> </u>
The procedures used during this test having the following compositions and	incorporated plating solutio d operating conditions:
1. COPPER STRIKE	
Composition:	
a. Copper Cyanide b. Sodium Cyanide c. Caustic Soda	3.0 oz/gal. 4.5 oz/gal. 0.25-0.50 oz/gal.
Anodes Voltage Temperature Strike Time	Stainless Steel 6 Volts Room Temperature 2 minutes
2. COPPER PLATE	
Composition:	·
a. Copper Cyanide b. Sodium Cyanide c. Rochelle Salt d. Caustic Soda	3.5 oz/gal. 4.7 oz/gal. 4.0 oz/gal. 0.5 oz/gal.
Anodes Temperature Current Density	Copper Metal Room Temperature O.l amps/sq. in.
3. ZINC STRIKE AND ZINC PLATE	
Composition:	<b>A</b>
a. Sodium Cyanide b. Zinc Cyanide c. Caustic Soda	5.0 oz/gal. 10.6 oz/gal. 15.0°oz/gal.
Anodes Temperature Voltage (Strike) Voltage (Plate) Strike Time Plate Time	Zinc Metal Room Temperature 3.0 volts 2.0 volts 3.0 minutes 15.0 minutes

#### PAGE FTDM 1886 REPORT NO. **B-**58 MODEL A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION 26 March 1958 DATE (FORT WORTH) TABLE III (Continued) FLUOBORATE TIN PLATE 4. Composition: 200.0 gms/liter Stannous Fluoborate a. 50.0 gms/liter b. Fluoboric Acid 25.0 gms/liter 1.0 gms/liter 6.0 gms/liter Boric Acid с. Beta Napthol d. e. Gelatin 80.0 gms/liter Tin (by analysis) f. Room Temperature Temperature Current Density (amps/sq. ft.) 25-125 Ratio of Anode to Cathode Area 2:1 Tin Metal Anode Ð 9 SODIUM STANNATE TIN PLATE 5. Composition: 105.0 gms/liter a. Sodium Stannate b. Sodium Hydroxide 9.0 gms/liter c. Sodium Acetate 15.0 gms/liter d. Tin (by analysis) 40.0 gms/liter $150 \pm 5^{\circ}F$ Temperature Current Density (amps/sq.ft.) 10 - 25Ratio Anode to Cathode Area 1:1 Tin Metal Anodes 6. 80% TIN - 20% ZINC ALLOY PLATE Composition: Potassium Stannate 120.0 gms/liter a. b. Zinc Cyanide 9.0 gms/liter c. Potassium Cyanide 21.0 gms/liter d. Free Potassium Cyanide 6.5 gms/liter Anodes 80% Tin, 20% Zinc Alloy Ratio of Anode to Cathode Area 2:1 150 ± 5°F Temperature

Voltage

2

3.5

# 

(PORT WORTH)

PAGE_		_8		
REPORT	NO.	FTDM	1886	
MODEL		B-58		
DATE	26	March	1958	_

### TABLE IV

### PLATE EVALUATION METHODS

### VISUAL INSPECTION:

Before exposure to the test procedures given below, the plated specimens were visually inspected for pitting, blistering, burning, poor adhesion, and poor coverage of the plating. Specimens failing this preliminary examination were not subjected to further test procedures. These results are given in Table V.

### MECHANICAL ADHESION TESTS:

One specimen from each successful plating procedure was tested for plate adhesion by the standard 3M 250 tape stripping test. This test was followed by probe examination and bending until fracture of the base material occurred. After fracture, the adhesion was checked at the interface of the electroplate and base material. Results of these examinations are given in Table VI.

### THERMAL HEAT CYCLING:

One specimen from each successful plating method was tested to determine its resistance to thermal heat cycling. These tests were conducted by exposing the specimen to a temperature of  $400^{\circ}$ F for 3 minutes and then immediately immersing it in  $70^{\circ}$ F water. This cycle was repeated a total of 3 times, with specimens being examined for burning, blistering, or peeling of the plating. Results of this test are given in Table VI.

### SALT SPRAY EXPOSURE:

Two specimens from each successful plating procedure were exposed to salt spray in accordance with Federal Test Method Standard 151, Method 811,\* for 48 hours or until failure of plate, whichever occurred first. Following exposure, the specimens were visually examined for pitting, with more than 3 pits/sq." considered as failure of plate. These results are given in Table VI.

\* 20% salt spray.

•

# CONVAIR R

(FORT WORTH)

.

٠,

40

PAGE 9 REPORT NO. FTDM 1880 MODEL B-58 DATE 26 March 1958

TABLE V								
RESULTS AND VISUAL EVALUATION OF PLATING PROCEDURES								
A. DOW ZINC-TREATED AZ-31 MAGNESIUM ALLOY								
PLATING PROCEDURE	SPECIMEN	PLATING OBSERVATIONS	COVERAGE	PLATE CON- DITIONS	RESULTS			
l.a. Copper Strike b. Fluoborate Tin Plate	l	High Fluoride Content Causes Speci- men Etching	Poor	Poor	Fail			
2.a.Copper Strike b. Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	2	Copper Strike not uniform	Poor	Poor	Fail			
3. <b>a.Copper</b> Strike b.Sodium Stannate Tin	3	Copper Strike not uniform	Poor	Poor	Fail			
4.a. Copper Strike b. Copper Plate c. Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	4 & 5	Copper Plate . gives fair coverage	Poor	Poor	Fall			
5.a. Zinc Strike b. Copper Strike c. Copper Plate d. Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	6&7	Copper Plate gives full coverage	Fair	Blistered	Fail			
6.a. Zinc Strike b. Zinc Plate c. Copper Strike d. Copper Plate e. Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	8,9& 10	Copper Plate gives full coverage	Good	Blistered	Fail			
7.a. Zinc Strike b. Zinc Plate c. Copper Strike d. Copper Plate e. Sodium Stannate Tin	11,12 & 13	Copper Plate gives full coverage	Good	Blistered	Fail			

۲

UTILITY REPORT SHEET

# CONVENION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

۲

(PORT WORTH)

PAGE10REPORT NO.FTDM1886MODELB-58DATE26March1958

. . . . . . . .

ent in a Merican Manageria an

				;			
	TABLE V (Continued)						
в.	DOW ZINC-TREATE	ED HK-31 1	MAGNESIUM-THORIUM ALLO	Y	۲		
PLA	TING PROCEDURE	SPECIMEN	PLATING OBSERVATIONS	COVERAC	E PLATE R CON- DITION	ESULTS	
l.a. b.	<b>G</b> opper Strike Fluoborate Tin Plate	1	Solution too acidic specimen etches	Poor	Poor	Fail	
2.a. b.	Copper Strike Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	2	Copper Strike is poor	Poor	Poor	Fail	
3.a. b.	Copper Strike Sodium Stannate Tin	3	Copper Strike not Uniform	Poor	Poor	Fail	
4.a. b. c.	Copper Strike Copper Plate Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	4 & 5	Copper Plate Gives fair coverage	Poor	Blister- ed	Fall	
5.a. b. c. d.	Zinc Strike Copper Strike Copper Plate Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	6&7	Copper Strike gives fair coverage	Fair	Blister- ed	Fail	
6.a. b. c. d. e.	Zinc Strike Zinc Plate Copper Strike Copper Plate Tin-Zinc Alloy Plate	8,9,& 10	Full Coverage after copper plate	Good	Ad- herent +	Pass	
7.a. b. c. d. e.	Zinc Strike Zinc Plate Copper Strike Copper Plate Sodium Stannate Tin	11,12,& 13	Full Coverage after copper plate	Good	Ad- herent	Pass	
				a in a second second second	Start a state the superior of a super-		

۲

۲



------

(FORT WORTH)

PAGE	11	
REPORT NO.	FTDM	1386
MODEL	B-58	
DATE 26 Ma	rch 19	958

1

معد بحد مع و معر مع و

UTILITY REPORT SHEET

C

į

Ŀ

1

÷

Department 6 PWP 1077-8-54

