A recent request for comments from industry was received from the National Research Council, Washington, DC. The Council was about to present a National Science and Technology Forum, and wanted answers to a number of provocative questions. Here is how Frank Altmayer, CEF, AESF’s technical director, answered them.

Q. What can science and technology contribute to meeting current national environmental goals?

A. Because current national environmental goals are to reduce discharge of toxics, marginally toxic materials and non-toxics to the environment to maximum levels achievable by available technology, it is incumbent upon science and technology to establish sound bases for what really is achievable by the technology, when applied to matrices encountered in industry, as opposed to matrices encountered in the laboratory.

There is also a need to establish protocols and sound scientific bases for transferring environmental standards from one emission source to another. At this time, it appears that regulations are cross-transferred based on little or no protocol.

Science and technology also need a better definition for what is and is not a waste that is dangerous to the environment.

Q. What do you believe should be the nation’s environmental goals for the future?

A. The nation’s environmental goals for the future should be to export the existing environmental program to nations that sell products to the U.S. It does little good to clean one closet when the rest of the house is filthy.

Q. How can science and technology contribute to meeting these future goals?

A. Science and technology can contribute to this future goal by providing lower-cost options for countries with industries that cannot tolerate the current costs of compliance imposed on U.S. industry.

Q. Rank what you consider to be the top five environmental goals for the U.S.

A. 1. Export the existing environmental program to nations that export products to the U.S.
2. Export the existing environmental program to the remaining nations on this planet.
3. A healthy terrestrial ecosystem.
4. Restoration of contaminated sites.
5. Clean air.

Note: The first two goals were not on the pre-printed list of choices. Others on the list were:

1. Climate change risk reduction.
2. Stratospheric ozone layer restoration.
3. Clean waters.
4. Safe indoor environments.
5. Safe drinking water.
7. Safe workplaces.
8. Preventing spills and accidents.
9. Preventing wastes and toxic products.
10. Safe waste management.

Q. What would indicate that those goals were achieved?

A. (a) Uniform regulation of industries throughout the world.
(b) Reduction of planet population to 50 percent of the population today.
(c) Cleanup of all identified Superfund sites.
(d) Improvement of air purity to pre-industrial revolution levels.

Q. Please comment on the following environmental goals for the U.S. that are now being developed by the Presidential Commission on Sustainable Development:

Human Health & Equity
We envision an American society where healthy and economically secure people sustain—and are sustained by—a healthy environment. Every person breathes clean air,
Environmental Quality
To attain a safe and clean environment by making pollution prevention, waste reduction, and product stewardship standard practices.

Comment:
A safe and clean environment is the result of a combination of pollution prevention, waste reduction, product stewardship, and:

- Pollution control;
- Adequate accounting for risk in environmental mandates;
- Economic and technological assistance to industries for pollution prevention, waste reduction.

Efficient Production and Resource Utilization
This goal would achieve a constant and significant improvement in the efficiency of materials used in, and the production of, all stages of resource development—extraction, production, manufacturing, and end-use (and make pollution prevention, waste reduction, and product stewardship standard practices), with corresponding reductions in resource use to sustainable levels in environmental risks.

Comment:
Improvement in the efficiency of materials used and produced at all stages of resource development must be balanced by economics, and the environmental risks involved.

Maximum utilization of resources is a self-policing condition. If a competitor uses raw materials more efficiently, he will be able to eliminate competition. Science and technology need only to provide the means to reduce pollution, reduce waste, or substitute a less polluting process, and then disseminate the information to industry. A better mousetrap is always adopted, if it works and costs less.

Q. What information regarding the ability of science and technology to aid in meeting the nation’s environmental goals do you wish you had, that is not now available?

A. Science and technology have not provided accurate and useful risk data on materials discharged into the environment. As a result, even the smallest risk of a material causing cancer, or other health deterioration, is cause for regulation and control.
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