



100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

Cyanide-Free Electroplating of Cu-Sn Alloys

by Uwe Manz,* Dr. Sascha Berger, Klaus Bronder, Klaus Leyendecker, Bernd Weyhmüller and Guenter Wirth Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH Schwaebisch Gmuend, Germany

Editor's Note: This paper is a peer-reviewed and edited version of a presentation delivered at NASF SUR/FIN 2012 in Las Vegas, Nevada on June 13, 2012.

ABSTRACT

Copper-tin (Cu-Sn) layers are well known and frequently used to avoid the use of nickel in many decorative and some technical applications. Consequently the plating of bronze has been with us for a long time. At present industrial electroplating is still performed from solutions containing cyanide. There have been many attempts to substitute for cyanide, but so far only with minor success. The results have not been satisfying with regards to appearance, operating window, plating speed and other properties. Therefore the cyanide-free electroplating of bronze is still just a wish for many platers. However, the requirements regarding legislation and environment are increasing and the problem to get a license for cyanide, especially in China, has become severe. Therefore the need for alternative cyanide-free electroplating of Cu-Sn from cyanide-free electrolytes. The influence on properties like alloy composition, appearance and operating window are highlighted.

Keywords: Copper-tin electroplating, bronze electroplating, cyanide substitutes, alloy electroplating

Introduction

Copper-tin (Cu-Sn) layers are well known and frequently used to avoid the use of nickel in many decorative and some technical applications. At present industrial electroplating is still performed from solutions containing cyanide. There have been many attempts to substitute for cyanide, but so far only with minor success. The results have not been satisfying with regards to appearance, operating window, plating speed and other properties. Therefore the cyanide-free electroplating of bronze is still just a wish for many platers. However, the requirements regarding legislation and environment are increasing and the need for alternative cyanide-free electrolytes is high. This paper reviews some basic chemistry and some concepts as well as recent developments in the electroplating of Cu-Sn from cyanide-free electrolytes.

Properties of Cu-Sn alloys

Copper-tin alloys, especially bronzes, are one of the first metallic materials used by mankind. The excellent properties of bronzes, such as:

- Good resistance to outdoor exposure,
- White and yellow (gold) color with a bright appearance
- Hard and polishable
- · Diamagnetic properties for high frequency connectors and
- Good wear resistance

*Corresponding author:

Uwe Manz Head of R&D Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH Klarenbergstrasse 53-79, D-73525 Schwaebisch Gmuend Sitz/Registered Office: Schwaebisch Gmuend Registergericht/Register of Commerce: Ulm, HR B 701692 Geschaeftsfuehrer/President: Thomas Engert Phone: +49 7171 607 258 - Fax +49 7171 607 364 E-mail: uwe.manz@eu.umicore.com Website: http://www.umicore.galvano.com





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

have led to the development of electroplating solutions. A further advantage is the fact, that Cu-Sn alloys do not cause allergies and can be substituted nickel in some applications.

Electroplating history

The electroplating of copper-tin alloys is more than 170 years old, with the first activities reported around 1842. The constituents used in the first-mentioned electrolytes were cyanide complexed copper and tin in form of stannate. These components are still used in modern cyanide-based electroplating solutions.¹

Cyanide-based electrolytes

In the literature two types of electrolytes are described for the deposition of Cu-Sn alloys, one with 10-20 wt% of tin with a goldyellow color and another with 35-50 wt% of tin for coatings with a shiny white appearance (speculum, mirror-alloy).

The baths containing cyanides (and stannates) are state-of-the-art and offer the following properties:

- · Wide range of applicable current density
- Good throwing power (similar to cyanide copper)
- Compact, shiny/bright coatings
- Good color distribution (constant color)
- Wide range of alloy composition possible (bronzes with white & yellow colors)
- Applicable for barrel, rack and high speed plating
- Insoluble anodes (soluble anodes possible)
- Current efficiency (75 %)
- Stable electrolyte
- Long but limited lifetime (formation of carbonates)
- Sensitive against nickel impurities (decreasing efficiency)
- Cyanide detoxification required (wastewater)

Some compositions contain heavy metals, *e.g.*, lead as part of the brightener system, hence the coatings also contain lead. Nonetheless, more recent compositions have been developed which come with a lead-free additive system.

The basic composition and operating conditions of the two typical CN-based electrolytes are given in Table 1. The alloy composition can be controlled by the varying the concentrations of copper, tin, KCN and/or KOH.

Tahla 1	Deele		and an arak	an anadition	a far ON	haaad whate	بريمالمبر اممرم	huna na ala atua	1. <i>4</i> – –
тапе і	- Basic	composition	and operat	na conamor	IS TOP UNI-	nasen white	ano veilow	/ pronze electro	ivies
Tuble I	Duoio	0011100010011	una oporat	ing contaition		babba minto			.,

	White bronze	Yellow bronze
Composition	53-57% Cu; 47-43% of Sn	80-90% Cu; 10-20% of Sn
Cu as potassium copper(I) cyanide	2 g/L	15 g/L
Sn as sodium stannate	6 g/L	17 g/L
Potassium cyanide (free)	15 g/L	35 g/L
Potassium hydroxide	6 g/L	25 g/L
Brightener system	Lead	Organic
Anodes	Insoluble	Insoluble
Operating temperature	40-50°C	50-60°C
Cathodic current density	0.5-1.5 A/dm ²	2-4 A/dm ²
Plating speed	0.14 µm/min at 1 A/dm ²)	0.6 µm/min at 3 A/dm ²)

Cyanide is a strong complexing agent. For this reason it is often used in the electroplating industry. In the case of copper-tin alloys, the use of cyanide allows and controls the simultaneous deposition to form a compact alloy with excellent properties. In addition, the anodic degradation products are not immediately problematic for the function of the electrolyte.





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

The major drawback of cyanides is the strong and rapid-acting toxicity. For this reason cyanides are more and more restricted, although safe handling procedures exist and their detoxification in wastewater can be controlled quite readily and effectively.

The restriction on cyanides applies to the import, storage, transportation and handling of dangerous goods. For all these activities a license is required and special requirements must be followed. This situation has become worse in recent years. In China, it is very difficult and time consuming to obtain a cyanide license. In addition, the upper limits apply to the total amount of cyanides in a given company in its entirety. This is clearly a driver for the continued development of cyanide-free electrolytes.

Cyanide-free electrolytes

The electroplating of cyanide-free bronzes is not new. In his book on alloy electroplating, Brenner gave an overview on cyanide-free electroplating.¹ The role of the cyanide is to stabilize (bind / chelate) the copper in the solution and allow the simultaneous, fine grained codeposition with tin. Copper forms the complex $[Cu(CN)_3]^2$ with cyanide in solution. This complex exhibits a good solubility and is relatively stable. In electrolytes with soluble anodes, free cyanide ensures good anode corrosion. All these functions should be provided by any potential substitute. Work has been done on several possible basic cyanide-free electrolytes. Some sample processes are listed below.

Alkaline electrolytes:

Tartrate (C4H4O6⁻²) Pyrophosphate (P₂O7⁻⁴) Phosphonates (R-PO3⁻²) Neutral electrolyte: Tripolyphosphate, P₃O_{10⁻⁵} (Kudra, *et al.*²) Acid electrolytes: Oxalic acid [(COOH)₂] Fluoboric acid (HBF₄) Sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) Methanesulfonic acid (CH₃SO₃H)

Unfortunately, most of them suffer from one or more drawbacks, compared to electrolytes operated with cyanide. Such shortcomings include:

- Instability of the electrolyte
 - Oxidation of electrolyte components (Sn(II), tartrate, additives, etc.)
 - Breakdown of electrolyte components
 - Decreasing current efficiency
- Quality of the deposits not acceptable
 - Color not white enough (white bronze)
 - Non-uniform color/alloy composition
 - Increased copper codeposition in low current density area, leading to dark reddish deposits
 - Tarnishing of the deposits within a short period of time
 - Hazy, streaky, rough, non-compact deposits at makeup or after a short time thereafter
- · Limited maximum thickness with good appearance of the deposits
- Narrow applicable current density range
- · Slow plating speed
- Corrosivity of the electrolyte
- Wastewater treatment issues

Electrolytes based on pyrophosphate, phosphonates and methanesulfonic acid have the least disadvantages and the highest potential to become accepted by the industry.





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

Other considerations

Oxidation or breakdown of electrolyte components in the tartrate electrolyte

In the tartrate electrolyte, the tartrate ion decomposes at the anode. That leads to the formation of copper-containing precipitates.¹

Oxidation of tin

In all electrolytes the oxidation of divalent tin [Sn(II)] to tetravalent tin [Sn(IV)] can take place at the anode, but the main reaction occurs with atmospheric oxygen.³ The resulting stannate(IV) is stable and accumulates in the electrolyte. In acid solutions, it forms hydroxides that precipitate by splitting off water and thus forming species like SnO₂•xH₂O and finally SnO₂ sludge. This precipitate is often finely dispersed, or in a colloidal form and cannot be simply filtered off.

Several substances ("stabilizers"), described as antioxidants, avoid or slow down the oxidation reaction. Examples are pyrocatecol, hydroquinone, resorcinol, phloroglucinol, pyrogallol, 3-amino phenol, hydroquinone sulfuric acid ester, cresolsulfonic acid.³⁻⁷

Alloy distribution and color uniformity

Non-uniform color is mainly caused by unfavorable alloy distribution as a function of the current density. If the alloy composition differs too much between high and low current density, then complex shaped parts, or parts plated in barrels will exhibit differing colors.

A pyrophosphate electrolyte⁸ deposits markedly more copper in the low current density area, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore it is not the best choice for barrel plating, but applicable for rack plating.



Figure 1 - Cu-Sn pyrophosphate electrolyte: alloy composition as a function of current density.

In a basic phosphonate electrolyte, the change in copper concentration as a function of the current density is quite strong and at low current densities (Fig. 2), the deposits show a reddish / brownish color, while it is white at "normal" operating current densities. The addition of a selective chelating agent for copper (sulfur-containing additive) changes the situation completely (Fig. 3). With the addition of the additive to the phosphonate electrolyte, the alloy distribution is much more homogeneous and the electrolyte is now suitable for barrel plating without color uniformity problems.

Electrolytes based on methanesulfonic acid (MSA) show a different behavior. The basic electrolyte without additives shows a high copper codeposition and the color of the deposits is red to yellow (Fig. 4). The addition of chelating additives that mainly affect copper deposition, depresses the codeposition of copper. White coatings with a relatively constant alloy composition can be deposited (Fig. 5). With this improved electrolyte, plating at low current densities does not result in a dramatic increase of the





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

codeposition of copper with red deposits. Appropriate chelating agents are, *e.g.*, gluconic acid or sulfur-containing additives such as thioethers.⁴



Figure 2 - Cu-Sn phosphonate electrolyte: alloy composition as a function of current density.







Figure 4 - Cu-Sn MSA electrolyte without additive: alloy composition as a function of current density.

Tarnishing of deposits

White bronze with approximately 40-45 wt% of tin (60-55 wt% of copper) is usually resistant to tarnishing. If the tin concentration is too low, then the deposits tend to become tarnished with a brownish appearance.⁹ In the experience of the authors, the limit is also related to the type of electrolyte used. The deposits from the MSA electrolyte mentioned above are tarnish resistant. This means that below 64-65 wt% of copper or higher than 35-36 wt% of tin, no tarnishing is observed.





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)



Figure 5 - Cu-Sn MSA electrolyte with additive: alloy composition as a function of current density.

Electrolyte life

Electrolyte life is a major issue for a production-proven process. Here the MSA-based and pyrophosphate electrolytes show the best performance. The phosphonate-based electrolyte shows a very good performance at makeup, but within a short period of time (1-2 A-hr/L), the results worsen with respect to appearance and efficiency. An operation with membrane-separated anodes does not improve the situation, so the effect is not caused by anode-related processes. The best performance is shown by the MSA-electrolyte. Up to now no limit has been observed. The stannate(IV) formed does not affect the deposition even in large concentrations.

Anodes

Anodes are important. The use of insoluble anodes (*e.g.*, graphite or mixed metal oxide anodes) leads to generally higher operating potentials. This can lead to problems with oxidation of sensitive organics. Soluble anodes are preferable, but often problems also arise. When using separate copper or tin anodes, copper often precipitates on the tin anodes or vice versa, depending on the relative concentrations of copper and tin in the electrolyte being considered. It is also complex to split and balance the current flow between different anodes. Alloy anodes are preferred in this case, but here issues with the availability, stability and solubility may arise. If the electrolyte is not designed to be used with soluble anodes, then problems with passivation can also happen.

Overview

Table 2 gives some of the typical compositions and properties of the coatings and electrolytes based on phosphonate, pyrophosphate and methanesulfonic acid.

Summary and conclusion

The development of cyanide-free Cu-Sn electrolytes is still in progress and there is still need for further improvement. Many details and variables must be observed when shifting to a cyanide-free Cu-Sn plating solution. Each of the electrolytes has its own characteristics. With the actual development of new MSA-based electrolytes, the door to an industrial accepted process has been opened.





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

Table 2 - Typical compositions, operating conditions and properties of the coatings and electrolytes based on phosphonate, pyrophosphate and methanesulfonic acid.

	Phosphonate	Pyrophosphate	Methanesulfonic acid (MSA)						
Electrolyte composition									
Copper	0.5 g/L	3.0 g/L	2.0 g/L						
Tin	5.0 g/L	5.0 g/L	1.0 g/L						
Stabilizer	10 g/L	20 g/L	20 g/L						
Potassium Pyrophosphate		200 g/L							
Phosphonate	100 g/L								
Methanesulfonic acid			200 g/L						
Organic additives	10 mL/L	70 mL/L	15 mL/L						
Operating conditions									
Temperature, °C	50	40	35						
рН	10	8	≤1						
Current density, A/dm ²	0.05-0.50	0.80	0.1-0.5						
Plating speed, µm/min	0.03 at 0.1 A/dm ²	0.22 at 0.75 A/dm ²	0.08 at 0.3 A/dm ²						
Throwing power (Haring-Blum cell;	55%	ΝΔ	70%						
Fields equation)	5576	N.A.	1 2 /0						
Barrel plating	Good	Bad	Excellent						
Rack plating	Acceptable	Good	Very good						
Process window	Acceptable	Good	Very good						
Deposit properties									
Alloy composition, wt%	63% Cu/37% Sn	55% Cu/45% Sn	63% Cu/37% Sn						
Max. coating thickness, µm	1.0-2.0	0.5	5.0						
Hardness HV ₂₅ (cross-section)	450	500	550-600						
Appearance	Bright	Bright	Bright						
Deposit color	White	White	White						
Color values, CIE-L*/a*/b*	80/0/9/7.0	83/0.5/7.8	84/0.4/5.3						
Tarnish resistance	Good	Acceptable	Very good						
Electrolyte life	Short	Good	Very good						

References

- 1. A. Brenner, "Electrodeposition of Copper-Tin Alloys," in *Electrodeposition of Alloys*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1963; p. 497.
- 2. O.K. Kudra, *et al.*, "Issledovanie polyarizacii pri elektroosajdenii splava med-olova iz tripolifosfatnih elektrolitov," *Ukrainskii Khimicheskii Zhurnal*, 38, 875 (1972).
- 3. M. Jordan, Die galvanische Abscheidung von Zinn und Zinnlegierungen, Leuze Verlag, Saulgau/Württemberg, 1993; p. 62f.
- 4. K. Bronder, *et al.*, Umicore Galvanotechnik GmBH, "Copper-tin electrolyte and method for depositing bronze layers," European Patent Office, Patent No. EP1961840 (2008).
- 5. M. Dietterle, M. Jordan and G. Strube, Dr.Ing. Max Schlötter GmbH & Co., "Electrolyte and method for depositing tin-copper alloy layers," European Patent Office, Patent No. EP1325175 (2003).
- 6. Q. Chen and A. Egli, Rohm and Haas Electronic Materials LLC, "Electroplating Bronze," European Patent Office, Patent No. EP 2071057 (2009).
- 7. J. Dr. Heyer, *et al.*, Enthone Inc., "Process for galvanic deposition of bronze," European Patent Office, Patent No. EP 1408141 (2004).
- S. Berger, et al., Umicore Galvanotechnik GmBH, "Modified copper-tin electrolyte and method of depositing bronze layers," European Patent Office, Patent No. EP 2116634 (2010).
- 9. H.W. Dettner and J. Elze, *Handbuch der Galvanotechnik Band II Verfahren für die galvanische und stromlose Metallabscheidung*, Carl Hanser Verlag, München, 1966; p. 494.





100 (8), 1-8 (September 2013)

About the authors



Uwe Manz is Division Manager of the Research and Development department at Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH. Uwe has more than 20 years of experience in metal finishing and has been involved in the development of various electroplating processes. His interests include the electroplating of alloys and precious metals. Uwe holds a diploma in chemistry from Reutlingen University.



Dr. Sascha Berger is Manager for the Research and Development Laboratory at Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH. Sascha has been working in the metal finishing business since 2000, the last eight years for Umicore. His experience and interests include mainly precious metal finishing and electroplating of alloys. Sascha attended the University of Stuttgart and holds a Ph.D. in inorganic chemistry.



Klaus Bronder is an Electroplating Engineer in the Research and Development Laboratory at Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH. He has worked for more than 25 years in the field of precious metals and alloy plating. Klaus holds a diploma in chemical engineering from Nuremberg University.



Dr. Klaus Leyendecker holds a B.Sc. in Surface Engineering, an M.Sc. in Corrosion Science and a Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering. He is Division Manager of the Base Metal Finishing department of Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH and responsible for non-precious metal electroplating products within this position. His scientific interests are in alloy plating, electrochemistry and corrosion science.



Bernd Weyhmüller works in the Research and Development Laboratory at Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH. Bernd has worked in different research projects until he joined Umicore in 2005. His main topics are the development of new precious- and non-precious metal electrolytes and new electrochemical applications. Bernd studied "Surface Technologies and Material Engineering" at the Aalen University with the main focus on electrochemistry and holds a diploma.



Guenter Wirth has been involved in the metal finishing business since 1980. His work and research activities are focused around nickel-free processes and applications. Today, Guenter is Laboratory Manager at Umicore's Base Metal Finishing Division. Parallel to work, he is active in and a member of the official auditing committee in electroplating education.